

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/643,526	08/19/2003	Brian M. Wilk	27087/39520	4491
4743	7590 07/07/2005	•	EXAM	INER
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP			MENDIRATT	ra, vishu k
233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300 SEARS TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	IL 60606	•	3711	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Sp			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/643,526	WILK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Vishu K. Mendiratta	3711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON1 ute, cause the application to become AB	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. (HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	June 200 <u>5</u> .				
	nis action is non-final.	•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 4,7-17,20,21 and 23-26 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 4,7-17,20,21 and 23-26 is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the left of the specific sp	ccepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand the drawing(s) be held in abeyand the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been received in Apriority documents have been received.	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claims 7-9, 13,20, 23,24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over McKay (4005543).

McKay teaches a game figure (10), having a base (74), a mechanically functional combat component (12) moveable with respect to the figure, the character moveable on surface (14), a second portion (30) moveably connected to move from one position to another (Fig.3-5), a spring (26) to bias the second portion from 2nd to 1st position, and a distance measuring device indicating the distance moved (3:44-54) having a wheel (14) fixedly secured to an axle (17) and a device coupled to axle for indicating rotation of axle (3:44-54).

Applicant might argue that physical engagement is not possible between the two game character combat components (12) for the reason that the combat components are not extended outside the figure. Applicant is advised to see 4:15 indicating possibility of various shapes of the component 12 as aesthetic choices.

In order to suit the desired shape, it would have been obvious to make changes in shape of the combat component. One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested modifying the component to engage with other game piece. For the purpose of argument the game pieces can be placed in various orientations to engage the combat components to come in contact with each other. The applicant might argue that spoiler 74 is not a base. The examiner takes the position that the spoiler is connected to the base and a part of the base (Fig.7). However

Application/Control Number: 10/643,526

Art Unit: 3711

disposing a distance-measuring device anywhere in the body of the character would be a design choice for convenient viewing of the device. One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested disposing any where in the base for convenient viewing.

2. Claims 4,10,11,12, 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over McKay (4005543) in further view of Kulesza (4280300).

McKay teaches a game figure (10), having a base (74), a mechanically functional combat component (12) moveable with respect to the figure, the character moveable on surface (14), a second portion (30) moveably connected to move from one position to another (Fig.3-5), a spring (26) to bias the second portion from 2nd to 1st position, and a distance measuring device indicating the distance moved (3:44-54).

Applicant might argue that physical engagement is not possible between the two game character combat components (12) for the reason that the combat components are not extended outside the figure. Applicant is advised to see 4:15 indicating possibility of various shapes of the component 12 as aesthetic choices.

In order to suit the desired shape, it would have been obvious to make changes in shape of the combat component.

One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested modifying the component to engage with other game piece. For the purpose of argument the game pieces can be placed in various orientations to engage the combat components to come in contact with each other.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,526

Art Unit: 3711

The applicant might argue that spoiler 74 is not a base. The examiner takes the position that the spoiler is connected to the base and a part of the base (Fig.7). However disposing a distance-measuring device anywhere in the body of the character would be a design choice for convenient viewing of the device.

One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested disposing any where in the base for convenient viewing.

Mckay teaches all limitations except that it does not teach an audible indication of distance measured in addition to a visual indicator.

Kulesza teaches a figure having an audible indicator disposed in a chamber (22) for measuring distance (abstract, 4:14-29) in addition to a visual indicator.

Children are especially attracted towards amusement devices that produce sound.

Audible indications in addition to visual indications also make the devices acceptable for visually challenged players. In order to make the device universally acceptable and promote the sale, it would have been obvious to provide audible indicator in addition to visual indicators.

One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested audible indicator in addition to visual indicators of traveled distance for making the device universal.

Mckay teaches all limitations except that it does not teach a pinion gear fixedly attached to axle.

Kulesza teaches a pinion (112) fixedly attached to axle (50).

Amusement art area is a very competitive area and in order to promote the game it would have been obvious to include a commonly known pinion driven device.

One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested including a gear driven device for increasing the amusement value.

3. Claims 14-17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over McKay (4005543) in view of Althaus (3387778).

McKay teaches a game figure (10), having a base (74), a mechanically functional combat component (12) moveable with respect to the figure, the character moveable on surface (14), a second portion (30) moveably connected to move from one position to another (Fig.3-5), a spring (26) to bias the second portion from 2nd to 1st position, and a distance measuring device indicating the distance moved (3:44-54).

Applicant might argue that physical engagement is not possible between the two game character combat components (12) for the reason that the combat components are not extended outside the figure. Applicant is advised to see 4:15 indicating possibility of various shapes of the component 12 as aesthetic choices.

In order to suit the desired shape, it would have been obvious to make changes in shape of the combat component.

One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested modifying the component to engage with other game piece. For the purpose of argument the game pieces can be placed in various orientations to engage the combat components to come in contact with each other.

The applicant might argue that spoiler 74 is not a base. The examiner takes the position that the spoiler is connected to the base and a part of the base (Fig.7). However disposing a distance-measuring device anywhere in the body of the character would be a design choice for convenient viewing of the device.

Page 6

One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested disposing any where in the base for convenient viewing.

Mckay teaches all limitations except that it does not teach a rotary display of distance indicia mechanism having wheel, axle, pinion, rack arrangement.

Althaus teaches a display mechanism having an axle (42), a wheel (40) fixedly secured to the axle, a device (24) coupled to the axle indicating progressive rotation of the axle. a pinion gear (46) fixedly secured to the axle, a circular rack (48) engaging a gear pinion (46), wherein the circular including a surface (registering wheels) having circumferentially spaces indicia (30) viewed through an aperture (22) on a face plate. Amusement art area is a very competitive area and in order to promote the game it would have been obvious to include a commonly known gear driven device for alternately displaying sequential rotating indicia.

One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested including a gear driven display device for increasing the amusement value.

Claims 21, 25,26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over McKay (4005543) in view of Kulesza (4280300) and further in view of Althaus.

McKay teaches a game figure (10), having a base (74), a mechanically functional combat component (12) moveable with respect to the figure, the character moveable on surface (14), a second portion (30) moveably connected to move from one position to another (Fig.3-5), a spring (26) to bias the second portion from 2nd to 1st position, and a distance measuring device indicating the distance moved (3:44-54).

Applicant might argue that physical engagement is not possible between the two game character combat components (12) for the reason that the combat components are not extended outside the figure. Applicant is advised to see 4:15 indicating possibility of various shapes of the component 12 as aesthetic choices.

In order to suit the desired shape, it would have been obvious to make changes in shape of the combat component.

One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested modifying the component to engage with other game piece. For the purpose of argument the game pieces can be placed in various orientations to engage the combat components to come in contact with each other.

The applicant might argue that spoiler 74 is not a base. The examiner takes the position that the spoiler is connected to the base and a part of the base (Fig.7). However disposing a distance-measuring device anywhere in the body of the character would be a design choice for convenient viewing of the device.

One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested disposing any where in the base for convenient viewing.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,526

0744

Art Unit: 3711

Mckay teaches all limitations except that it does not teach an audible indication of distance measured in addition to a visual indicator.

Kulesza teaches a figure having an audible indicator disposed in a chamber (22) for measuring distance (abstract, 4:14-29) in addition to a visual indicator.

Children are especially attracted towards amusement devices that produce sound.

Audible indications in addition to visual indications also make the devices acceptable for visually challenged players. In order to make the device universally acceptable and promote the sale, it would have been obvious to provide audible indicator in addition to visual indicators.

One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested audible indicator in addition to visual indicators of traveled distance for making the device universal.

Mckay teaches all limitations except that it does not teach a pinion gear fixedly attached to axle.

Kulesza teaches a pinion (112) fixedly attached to axle (50).

Amusement art area is a very competitive area and in order to promote the game it would have been obvious to include a commonly known pinion driven device.

One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested including a gear driven device for increasing the amusement value.

Mckay and Kulesza teach all limitations except that it does not teach a rotary display of distance indicia mechanism having wheel, axle, pinion, circular rack arrangement.

Althaus teaches a display mechanism having an axle (42), a wheel (40) fixedly secured to the axle, a device (24) coupled to the axle indicating progressive rotation of the axle, a pinion gear (46) fixedly secured to the axle, a circular rack (48) engaging a gear pinion (46), wherein the circular *including* a surface (registering wheels) having circumferentially spaces indicia (30) viewed through an aperture (22) on a face plate. Amusement art area is a very competitive area and in order to promote the game it would have been obvious to include a commonly known gear driven device for alternately displaying sequential rotating indicia.

One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested including a gear driven display device for increasing the amusement value.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4,7-17,20,21,23-26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vishu K. Mendiratta whose telephone number is (571) 272-4426. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on (571) 272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vishu K Mendiratta Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711

VKM July 5, 2005